

# Accretion Discs with Strong Toroidal Magnetic Fields

M. C. Begelman<sup>1,2</sup> & J.E. Pringle<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*JILA, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0440, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0HA*

<sup>3</sup>*JILA Visiting Fellow*

13 December 2006

## ABSTRACT

Simulations and analytic arguments suggest that the turbulence driven by magneto-rotational instability (MRI) in accretion discs can amplify the toroidal (azimuthal) component of the magnetic field to a point at which magnetic pressure exceeds the combined gas + radiation pressure in the disc. Arguing from the recent analysis by Pessah and Psaltis, and other MRI results in the literature, we conjecture that the limiting field strength for a thin disc is such that the Alfvén speed roughly equals the geometric mean of the Keplerian speed and the gas sound speed. We examine the properties of such magnetically-dominated discs, and show that they resolve a number of outstanding problems in accretion disc theory. The discs would be thicker than standard (Shakura-Sunyaev) discs at the same radius and accretion rate, and would tend to have higher colour temperatures. If they transport angular momentum according to an  $\alpha$  prescription, they would be stable against the thermal and viscous instabilities that are found in standard disc models. In discs fuelling active galactic nuclei, magnetic pressure support could also alleviate the restriction on accretion rate imposed by disc self-gravity.

**Key words:** accretion, accretion discs — galaxies: active — magnetohydrodynamics — novae, cataclysmic variables — X-rays: binaries

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The standard theory of geometrically thin accretion discs (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) has provided a successful framework for understanding the basic physical properties of these objects. And good progress toward understanding the main uncertainty in the theory, the viscosity, has been made since it was realized that the magneto-rotational instability (MRI) can drive dynamo action to maintain magnetic stresses (Balbus & Hawley 1998). However, several aspects of disc theory have remained problematic over the years.

Four of these problems stand out. First, observed disc spectra in cataclysmic variables (CVs) deviate significantly from theoretical expectations, even when self-irradiation is taken into account. Moreover, different kinds of CV systems with similar accretion rates often show different spectra. Second, the discs in CVs and low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) often appear to be geometrically thicker than expected, suggesting that some additional source of pressure supports the optically thick layers against gravity, over and above the usual mix of gas + radiation pressure. Third, disc models in which the viscous stress is roughly proportional to the total pressure (“ $\alpha$ -models”) — an otherwise plausible assumption — are often predicted to be unstable to perturbations in temperature and surface density. Yet observations of many

potentially unstable sources do not show clear evidence of such instabilities. Fourth, the accretion discs thought to fuel active galactic nuclei (AGN) are predicted to be gravitationally unstable on scales exceeding a fraction of a parsec, yet mass transport does not seem to be disrupted by fragmentation and star formation in many AGN.

In this paper, we propose a mode of disc accretion in which the pressure of the organized toroidal magnetic field dominates over other forms of pressure. On the basis of published numerical simulations, we argue that the MRI-driven dynamo could amplify the toroidal field to a strength that greatly exceeds the gas pressure. Similar arguments were presented by Pariev, Blackman & Boldyrev (2003) and Blaes et al. (2006), but the former focused on the stochastic part of the field, and neither attempted to estimate the field strength from first principles. Arguing on the basis of analyses by Pessah & Psaltis (2005) and others, we suggest that MRI-driven turbulence could amplify the toroidal field to a maximum strength such that the associated Alfvén speed is roughly the geometric mean between the Keplerian speed and the gas sound speed. Such a strong field can dominate the disc structure even in regions where radiation pressure dominates over gas pressure. We construct a model of a magnetically dominated  $\alpha$ -disc according to this prescription,

and show that the additional pressure support both thickens the disc and increases its colour temperature, stabilizes thermal and viscous instabilities, and ameliorates the effects of gravitational instability.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we outline the evidence for anomalous spectra and disc thicknesses in CVs and LMXBs. We defer brief discussions of the stability problems to Section 4. In Section 3, we discuss the numerical evidence supporting strong toroidal fields in discs, then focus on the Pessah & Psaltis (2005) analysis and results, as well as results in the literature for nonaxisymmetric MRI, in order to justify our estimate for the characteristic disc field. In Section 4 we adopt eq. (4) as the constitutive relation for the magnetic pressure, and construct a family of simple black hole disc models characterized by an  $\alpha$ -viscosity under this assumption. We show that the resulting discs are hotter and thicker than standard discs. Most importantly, and in contrast to the inner regions of standard black hole disc models, they are not subject to thermal or viscous instabilities. In addition, in the outer regions of AGN discs, where self-gravity is important, they can carry much larger mass fluxes without fragmenting due to gravitational instability. Finally, we discuss our results and summarize our conclusions in Section 5.

## 2 PROBLEMS WITH CV AND LMXB DISC MODELS

### 2.1 Spectral Properties

In principle a steady-state, geometrically thin accretion disc should be relatively simple to understand. Since the accretion rate is independent of radius,  $R$ , the effective temperature  $T_{\text{eff}}(R)$  is independent of the viscosity mechanism, and is given just in terms of system parameters (Pringle 1981) as

$$T_{\text{eff}}(R) = T_* \left( \frac{R}{R_*} \right)^{-3/4} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R}{R_*} \right)^{-1/2} \right]^{1/4}, \quad (1)$$

where  $R_*$  is the radius of the inner disc edge, and the reference temperature  $T_*$  is given in terms of the mass of the central object,  $M_*$ , and the accretion rate,  $\dot{M}$ , by

$$T_* = \left( \frac{3GM_*\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma_{\text{SB}}R_*^3} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{SB}}$  is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant.

The astronomical objects to which this formula should be most straightforwardly applicable are the accretion discs in cataclysmic variables (CVs), and in particular the discs in nova-like variables, and dwarf novae in outburst. In the nova-like variables, and in the Z Cam variables in standstill, the discs are steady-state in that the timescale for variation of the accretion rate (measured by system brightness) is much longer than the viscous timescale (as deduced empirically from the evolution timescale for dwarf nova outbursts). Indeed, even in dwarf novae in outburst, and especially in super-outburst, the discs are close enough to being steady state that their spectra should be readily calculable. Estimates of accretion rates and evolution timescales imply that these discs are optically thick. Thus, if the accretion energy is deposited within the body of the disc (where most of

the matter resides), computing the spectra should be closely akin to the well understood problem of computing the spectra of stellar atmospheres, especially given that in this case the temperatures and gravities (densities) involved are in the standard range (Wade & Hubeny 1998).

However, it has long been known that this simple-minded approach does not give satisfactory results. Just from an empirical point of view it was already clear that there might be problem: in her review of IUE spectra, la Dous (1991) showed that in the range  $\lambda\lambda 1200 - 3000$  the continuum spectra of dwarf novae at the peak of an outburst are significantly “bluer” (i.e. have more flux at shorter wavelengths) than the continuum spectra of non-magnetic nova-like stars. For the nova-like variables, which should most closely approximate steady-state discs, it turns out that it is difficult, if not impossible, to replicate the UV data using steady-state disc models constructed from LTE stellar atmospheres (Wade 1988; Long et al. 1994; Orosz & Wade 2003). In general, the models predict UV spectra that are too “blue,” and in addition it is not possible to match both the observed flux and colour at the same time. Similar problems are found for dwarf novae at the peak of outburst (Knigge et al. 1997)

### 2.2 Disc thickness

In the standard accretion disc picture, the thickness of the disc is governed by a balance between pressure and (a component of) gravity. To a first approximation, the scaleheight of the disc,  $H$ , is given by

$$H \sim R \left( \frac{c_s}{V_\phi} \right) \quad (3)$$

(Pringle 1981), where  $c_s$  is the sound speed at the disc midplane and  $V_\phi$  is the azimuthal velocity. For a simple steady-state CV disc, the shape of the disc is slightly flared in that  $H/R \propto R^{1/8}$  (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973).

In order to fit the eclipse profiles of discs in CVs, it is necessary to allow for the fact that the disc is not flat, and for the fact that the disc photosphere is usually at a height of a couple of disc scaleheights above the disc midplane. Eclipse fitting does allow some estimation of the disc thickness, usually in terms of the value of  $H/R$  at the outer disc edge. The observationally inferred values of disc thickness required to fit eclipse observations are typically a couple of times larger than the theoretical estimates (Robinson, Wood & Wade 1999; Shafter & Misselt 2006).

There are other indications of material farther out of the plane than simple estimates would suggest, notably the “iron curtain” material seen both in quiescent discs (Horne et al. 1994) and at high mass transfer rates (Baptista et al. 1998), and transient non-axisymmetric features, possibly spiral structure seen in tenuous layers of the atmosphere (Steehls, Harlaftis & Horne 1997), which may require an unusually hot, or thick, component of the atmosphere and/or additional tidal heating at the disc edge (Ogilvie 2002).

LMXBs (White & Mason 1985; Hakala, Muhli & Dubus 1999) and the Algol binary W Cru (Pavlovski, Burki & Mimica 2006) provide more evidence that the outer disc edge does not fit simply with standard disc theory, in that the outer disc edge seems to be thick and structured. In addition, the apparent paucity of eclipses among LMXBs, or

bulge sources, indicates that the disc manages to obscure the view of the central X-ray source from a larger fraction of the sky than might be expected (Milgrom 1978; Joss & Rappaport 1979).

The disc rim may not be the ideal place to test standard disc theory, since the outer disc edge is subject to both tidal dissipation and the impact of the accretion stream (Lubow 1989; Armitage & Livio 1998). Nevertheless, the soft X-ray transients do seem to indicate that in these objects the disc thickness is larger than expected at most radii, and not just at the outer disc edge. This is because, in order to explain the prolonged nature of the outbursts and the shape of the decay lightcurve, the simplest explanation appears to be that the disc is significantly irradiated from the centre (King 1998). According to standard disc models, such irradiation is not possible, since the inner parts of the disc screen the outer regions (Dubus et al. 1999). However, one can provide an adequate description of the outbursts of soft X-ray transients by assuming that the disc is thicker than simple models predict, allowing significant irradiation to occur (Dubus, Hameury & Lasota 2001).

### 3 HOW STRONG ARE DISC MAGNETIC FIELDS?

It seems likely that the problems discussed above (and several to be discussed later) could be resolved by considering the dynamical effects of magnetic fields in accretion discs. In standard disc theory, spectral predictions are based on two assumptions: first, that energy is deposited where most of the mass is, and second, that the density structure of the disc is smooth and homogeneous. However, it has long been realized that if the process that taps the shear energy, first converts it to magnetic energy, which is then dissipated, then much of the energy can be deposited in a small fraction of the mass (Lynden-Bell 1969; Mészáros, Meyer & Pringle 1977). Moreover, it has also long been known that if a disc is strongly magnetic, it is likely to be highly inhomogeneous (Pustilnik & Shvartsman 1974). In recent models of spectrum formation in the low/hard state of discs in LMXBs and for the hard X-ray flux in AGN, these ideas are taken to the limit in which all the accretion energy is assumed to be deposited in a low density, extended magnetic corona (see, for example, Życki, Done & Smith, 2001; Done & Nayakshin, 2001; Barrio, Done & Nayakshin, 2003; and references therein).

Numerical simulations give some support to these ideas. Hirose, Krolik & Stone (2006) and Fromang & Nelson (2006), following on from earlier work by Miller & Stone (2000), present the results of shearing-box simulations of MRI-driven accretion discs, in which the vertical extent of the computational grid is large enough to encompass regions of low gas density. The typical structure that they find is a magnetic sandwich, with most of the mass forming a gas pressure-dominated disc in the plane, but with extensive, low density, magnetically supported layers on either side. In these simulations, most of the energy dissipation still occurs in the gas pressure-dominated central layer, and therefore at high optical depth. However, the optical depth of the extended magnetic atmosphere is non-negligible, and this has two effects. First, the density at the effective photosphere

is lowered, increasing the ratio of scattering to absorptive opacity, and so giving rise to a slightly harder spectrum (Blaes et al. 2006). Second, the height of the apparent photosphere is increased, making the disc appear thicker than a standard disc.

In this paper we raise the possibility of there being a second equilibrium distribution for the magnetic flux in a disc, namely one in which the magnetic pressure ( $p_B = B^2/8\pi$ ) dominates at all heights in the disc (or at least through most of the pressure scale height). The existence of such a distinct class of discs has been raised before by Shibata, Tajima & Matsumoto (1990). As we discuss below, such a possibility would be difficult to simulate numerically because of the small lengthscales of the instabilities that maintain the magnetic dynamo. It is also likely that the formation of such a strongly magnetized disc requires special conditions of some kind. For example, Pringle (1989) discusses the formation of a strongly magnetized region in an accretion disc boundary layer, where the strong shear leads to a much higher formation rate for toroidal flux. A disc might also become strongly magnetically dominated as a result of thermal instability, for example in the central regions of black hole accretion discs (Machida, Nakamura & Matsumoto 2006), or during the transit to quiescence in a cataclysmic variable disc (Tout & Pringle 1992). In these circumstances the disc is originally hot, with  $p_B \sim \alpha p_g$ , where  $p_g$  is the gas pressure and  $\alpha$  is the Shakura-Sunyaev (1973) viscosity parameter. The disc then cools (reducing  $p$  but not  $p_B$ ) rapidly on a thermal timescale ( $\sim 1/\alpha\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is the angular speed). This would result in a disc in which  $\beta = p_g/p_B \ll 1$ .

Pariev et al. (2003) have considered the structure of a strongly magnetic disc in which  $\beta \ll \alpha \sim 1$ , but were unable to predict the strength of the field. In this paper, we attempt to quantify the characteristic strength of the toroidal magnetic fields likely to dominate accretion discs, and to explore the consequences of these strong fields for vertical and radial disc structure. We base our estimate of the limiting field strength on a recent analysis of the effects of strong toroidal fields on MRI by Pessah & Psaltis (2005), as well as other analyses in the literature. We assume that toroidal fields are amplified by dynamo action resulting from the turbulence driven by MRI, and are probably limited by buoyancy effects operating on some multiple of the dynamical (orbital) timescale. As long as MRI grows on a dynamical timescale, the azimuthal field,  $B_\phi$ , continues to be amplified, but when the MRI growth rate is suppressed by magnetic tension the growth stops and  $B_\phi$  saturates.

MRI continues to operate on a dynamical timescale even when the toroidal magnetic pressure exceeds the gas pressure. Pessah & Psaltis (2005) show that the maximum growth rate for axisymmetric MRI modes begins to be severely suppressed only when the Alfvén speed associated with the toroidal field,  $v_{A\phi} = (B_\phi^2/4\pi\rho)^{1/2}$ , exceeds the geometric mean of the Keplerian speed  $v_K$  and the gas sound speed  $c_g$ . (Radiation pressure is not considered in their analysis; we address this later.) When  $v_{A\phi} = (2c_g v_K)^{1/2}$ , the growth rate of MRI vanishes. Although different types of axisymmetric and nonaxisymmetric modes may grow when the field strength is larger than this limit, we conjecture the  $v_{A\phi}$  cannot grow past this instability “bottleneck.” We therefore adopt this limiting value for axisymmetric MRI as

a measure of the characteristic magnetic pressure, at least when gas pressure dominates:

$$p_B \sim \rho c_g v_K. \quad (4)$$

In this limit the magnetic pressure exceeds the gas pressure by a factor

$$\beta^{-1} \equiv \frac{p_B}{p_g} \approx \frac{v_K}{c_g} \gg 1; \quad (5)$$

thus, magnetic pressure would dominate the structure of the disc.

### 3.1 MRI and the Limiting Toroidal Field Strength

In this section, we analyze the physics behind the limiting field strength, and show its relationship to other stability results in the literature. Pessah & Psaltis (2005) consider a differentially rotating, cylindrical equilibrium flow with no  $z$ -dependence. In their analysis, they make approximations that correspond to assuming that the flow is of uniform density and contains a uniform poloidal field  $B_z \neq 0$  and a uniform toroidal field  $B_\phi$  (their results can be generalized to include a radial gradient of  $B_\phi$ ). The angular velocity is taken to be of the form  $\Omega \propto R^{-q}$ . They consider only axisymmetric perturbations, and this enables them to carry out a local stability analysis and to obtain a local dispersion relation relating wave frequency  $\omega$  and wavevector  $\mathbf{k} = (k_R, 0, k_z)$ , in cylindrical polar coordinates  $(R, \phi, z)$ . Their analysis differs from previous work in that they take account of both compressibility and geometrical terms. They focus on the case  $k_z \gg k_R$  in order to study how the MRI modes are affected by the strength of the azimuthal field.

In such a configuration, one might expect to encounter two different types of instability: (i) the MRI, which uses the magnetic field (here the poloidal component, which is why we require  $B_z \neq 0$  and  $k_z \gg k_R$ ) to tap the energy in the shear, and (ii) buoyancy-driven instability, which makes use of the radial structure of the equilibrium configuration to tap the (radial) effective gravity. Pessah & Psaltis find three regions of instability. Regions I and II (see their Figures 3 and 5) seem to correspond to the two types of instability mentioned above.

Type I instability occurs at small  $k_z$  and cuts off at a finite value of  $k_z = k_{BH}$ . This corresponds roughly to modes being unstable only when the time for a vertical magnetic wave, with wavespeed  $v_{Az} = B_z/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$ , to cross one (vertical) wavelength ( $\lambda \sim 1/k_z$ ) is longer than the orbital time  $1/\Omega$ . This is the standard criterion for instability to MRI, and corresponds physically to the situation in which a vertical field line is unable to straighten itself fast enough to counter rotational effects (centrifugal force). Thus instability requires

$$k_z v_{Az} \lesssim \Omega. \quad (6)$$

Pessah & Psaltis also find that the MRI modes are stabilized if the azimuthal field is strong enough. They find that modes of Type I are unstable only for low values of  $B_\phi < B_{\text{crit}}$  such that

$$v_{A\phi}^2 \lesssim v_K c_s. \quad (7)$$

Here, as before,  $v_{A\phi} = B_\phi/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$  is the azimuthal Alfvén speed,  $v_K$  is the Keplerian azimuthal velocity and  $c_s$  is

the sound speed. The regime we are interested in corresponds to a suprathermal, strongly azimuthal field, so that  $c_s \ll v_{A\phi} \ll v_K$  and  $B_z \ll B_\phi$ . This regime differs from the situation in which the predominant field is  $B_z$  in two important respects. First, the azimuthal field exerts an additional restoring force for a  $k_z$  perturbation, which, for a given  $k_z$ , dominates at large  $B_\phi$ . Second, the length of a field line corresponding to one vertical wavelength ( $\lambda \sim 1/k_z$ ) is now

$$d \sim \lambda \frac{B_\phi}{B_z}. \quad (8)$$

The analysis of Pessah & Psaltis appears to suggest that the MRI is no longer able to operate when the azimuthal field is increased to the extent that the timescale on which the restoring force due to the field operates ( $\sim R/v_{A\phi}$ ) is shorter than the timescale on which pressure equilibrium can be established along the field line ( $\sim d/c_s$ ). In this case, instability requires

$$k_z v_{Az} \gtrsim \frac{v_{A\phi}^2}{c_s R}. \quad (9)$$

Putting conditions (6) and (9) together, we obtain the condition for instability given by inequality (7) above.

Pessah & Psaltis suggest that Type II instability corresponds to buoyancy modes, in line with the results of Kim & Ostriker (2000) for the limit  $c_s \rightarrow 0$ . Modes of Type I and Type II are clearly linked in some way, as they undergo an exchange of stability at the critical azimuthal field strength. Type II modes are unstable only when both inequalities (6) and (9) are violated, and this only occurs for large values of the azimuthal field strength  $B_\phi > B_{\text{crit}}$ . Thus, for a given vertical field strength  $B_z$ , MRI modes — which tap the shear energy — are able to operate for small  $k_z$  and  $B_\phi < B_{\text{crit}}$ , whereas for  $B_\phi > B_{\text{crit}}$ , radial buoyancy modes, with large  $k_z$ , take over.

The derivation of the stability criterion by Pessah & Psaltis requires the presence of a vertical field ( $B_z \neq 0$ ), however small. But analogous behavior is found for the case in which the field is purely azimuthal (Terquem & Papaloizou 1996). Since MRI draws its energy from the background shear, it is evident that instability can arise in this case only for nonaxisymmetric modes. Terquem & Papaloizou use trial local displacements to show that the linear operator describing the evolution of the linearized equations has a dense or continuous spectrum of oscillation frequencies  $\omega$  that satisfy a local dispersion relation. Their analysis is restricted to modes that are essentially incompressible in the  $(R, z)$ -plane.<sup>1</sup> This eliminates the fast MHD mode, which in any case is not involved in MRI, and means that the dispersion relation is only a quartic in  $\omega$ .

In the limit we are considering, with  $k_z \gg k_R$  and  $c_s \ll v_A \ll v_K$ , the Terquem & Papaloizou dispersion relation simplifies considerably. For axisymmetric ( $m = 0$ ) disturbances,  $\omega$  is real, implying stability. For non-axisymmetric disturbances, we consider first modes with  $0 < m \lesssim v_K/v_A$ . For these modes the timescale for an azimuthal magnetic wave, with wavespeed  $v_A$ , to cross one (azimuthal) wavelength ( $\sim R/m$ ), is longer than the orbital time  $1/\Omega$  (cf.

<sup>1</sup> Note that this restriction still permits the effects of compressibility to operate in the azimuthal direction.

condition [6]). For this range of  $m$  there are two low frequency roots which can be unstable (in addition to two stable roots with  $\omega + m\Omega \approx \pm\Omega$ ). What is interesting for our current discussion is that the criterion for instability corresponds approximately to condition (7) derived by Pessah & Psaltis. The growth rates are of order  $\sim mc_s/R$ , and the (real parts of the) frequencies in the rotating frame are such that  $\omega + m\Omega \sim mv_A^2/R^2\Omega \lesssim v_A/R$ .

For higher values of  $m$ , such that  $m \gtrsim v_K/v_A$ , one finds instability provided that  $m \lesssim v_A/c_s$ . Putting these two conditions together thus implies that for instability we require  $v_A^2 > c_s v_K$ , which is the reverse of condition (7). This may correspond to the exchange of stabilities found by Pessah & Psaltis between their modes of Type I and Type II. The growth rates for these high  $m$  modes are  $\sim v_A/R$ , and the real parts of the frequencies in the rotating frame are small,  $\omega + m\Omega \sim \Omega/m$ . The fact that these modes are almost stationary in the corotating frame and that the growth rates depend on the field strength suggests, as for the Pessah & Psaltis Type II modes, that they are driven by buoyancy.

The analogy between the findings of Pessah & Psaltis for axisymmetric modes in the limit of  $0 < B_z \ll B_\phi$ , and the analysis of Terquem & Papaloizou for nonaxisymmetric modes when  $B_z = 0$ , seems to imply that the same physical processes are at work in both cases.

The basic conjecture of this paper is that amplification of the toroidal magnetic field occurs only while MRI is available to drive a dynamo. Since MRI dies out as  $B_{\text{crit}}$  is approached from below, we assume that  $B_\phi$  saturates at roughly this level. Indeed, the growth rates of both Type I and Type II modes are small in the vicinity of  $B_{\text{crit}}$ , where the exchange of stability between MRI and buoyancy modes occurs. However, even if  $B_\phi$  managed to cross this bottleneck and buoyancy modes were excited, this does not mean that  $B_\phi$  will continue to grow. Since buoyancy modes do not tap the shear, they are unlikely to drive a dynamo capable of amplifying the toroidal magnetic field.

## 4 CONSEQUENCES FOR DISC STRUCTURE

In this section we develop a one-zone model for vertical disc structure, under the assumption that the toroidal field reaches its limiting strength according to the Pessah & Psaltis (2005) analysis. The limiting field strength is related to the ability of sound waves to propagate along toroidal field lines. Because of the large radiative diffusivity of accretion discs, such waves are unlikely to be mediated by radiation pressure, even where radiation dominates the total pressure. Therefore we assume that the appropriate sound speed to use in estimating  $v_A$  is that due to the gas pressure,  $c_g \sim (p_g/\rho)^{1/2}$ , and we take  $v_A \sim (c_g v_K)^{1/2}$ . For a thin disc we have the ordering  $c_g \ll v_A \ll v_K$ . When  $v_A$  exceeds the sound speed associated with the radiation pressure,  $c_r \sim (p_r/\rho)^{1/2}$ , the scale height is given by

$$\frac{H}{R} \sim \frac{v_A}{v_K} \sim \left(\frac{c_g}{v_K}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (10)$$

instead of the Shakura–Sunyaev result,  $H_{\text{SS}}/R \sim c_s/v_K = (c_g^2 + c_r^2)^{1/2}/v_K$  (eq. 3). If we assume an  $\alpha$ -model viscosity with kinematic viscosity given by  $\nu = \alpha H v_A$  (rather than the usual  $\nu = \alpha H c_s$ , but preserving the assumption that the

stress is  $\sim \alpha p_B$  with  $p_B$  being essentially the total pressure), then the inflow speed (for a Keplerian disc) is given by

$$v_{\text{in}} \sim \frac{3}{2}\alpha \left(\frac{H}{R}\right)^2 v_K \sim \frac{3}{2}\alpha c_g \quad (11)$$

and the column density is

$$\Sigma \sim \frac{\dot{M}}{3\pi\alpha c_g R}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\dot{M}$  is the local mass accretion rate through the disc.

It is convenient to normalize radii to the gravitational radius,  $R_g = GM/c^2$ , and the accretion rate to the Eddington accretion rate, which we define as  $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{Edd}}/c^2 = 4\pi GM/\kappa c$ , where  $\kappa$  is the opacity and  $M$  is the mass of the central object. Defining  $\dot{m} \equiv \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$  and  $x \equiv R/R_g$ , we obtain an expression for the transverse optical depth through the disc,

$$\tau = \Sigma\kappa \sim \frac{4}{3}\frac{\dot{m}}{\alpha} \frac{c}{c_g} x^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

If the disc is radiative, the flux from each side (at  $x \gg x_{\text{in}}$ , where  $x_{\text{in}} = 6$  is the radius of the innermost stable orbit for a Schwarzschild black hole) is given by

$$F \approx \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{GM\dot{M}}{R^3} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c^5}{GM\kappa} \frac{\dot{m}}{x^3} \sim \frac{2p_r c}{\tau}, \quad (14)$$

where  $p_r$  is the radiation pressure near the midplane and the factor 2 in the last relation arises because the flux escaping from each side of the disc traverses half the optical depth (which is assumed to be  $> 1$ ). We now solve for the radiation pressure,

$$p_r \sim \frac{c^4}{GM\kappa} \frac{c}{c_g} \frac{\dot{m}^2}{\alpha} x^{-4}. \quad (15)$$

The density inside the disc is given by

$$\rho = \frac{\Sigma}{2H} \sim \frac{2}{3} \frac{c^2}{GM\kappa} \left(\frac{c}{c_g}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\dot{m}}{\alpha} x^{-9/4}. \quad (16)$$

The results presented so far are fully general. To obtain numerical results from them, however, one must determine the value of  $c_g$  and check whether  $v_A > c_r$ . This depends on the equation of state, the opacity, and the relative importance of radiation and gas pressure, which we now address.

### 4.1 The inner regions of black hole accretion discs

#### 4.1.1 The disc structure

We consider here the inner regions of a standard Shakura–Sunyaev disc around a black hole where radiation pressure dominates over gas pressure at small radii for values of  $\dot{m}$  that are not too small. The opacity is dominated by electron scattering and we take  $\kappa = \kappa_{es} = 0.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . We begin by calculating the magnetically dominated disc structure under the assumption  $p_B > p_r$ . We then check the self-consistency of this assumption *a posteriori*, to find the radius within which  $p_r > p_B$  and magnetic support can be neglected.

To determine both  $c_g$  and  $p_r$ , we assume that the radiation inside the disc is in local thermal equilibrium (LTE) and set  $T = T_{\text{LTE}} = (3p_r/a)^{1/4}$ . We then use eq. (15) to solve for  $T$  with  $c_g = (kT/\mu)^{1/2}$ , where  $\mu \approx 0.6m_p$  is the mean mass per particle. We verify the LTE assumption *a posteriori*. We

find that  $v_A > c_r$  for  $x > 20(\alpha m)^{2/37} \dot{m}^{32/37}$ , implying that magnetic pressure dominates over other forms of pressure at all radii, except possibly for the region immediately outside a black hole or neutron star. Radiation pressure dominates over gas pressure for  $x < 2.0 \times 10^3 (\alpha m)^{2/13} \dot{m}^{8/13}$ , but since magnetic pressure determines the vertical structure through most of this region, there is no difference in properties between the radiation and gas pressure-dominated zones.

The electron scattering optical depth to the disc mid-plane is

$$\tau_{\text{es}} \sim 2.5 \times 10^2 \alpha^{-8/9} m^{1/9} \dot{m}^{7/9} x^{-5/9}. \quad (17)$$

To check the assumption of LTE, we also need the absorption opacity, which we take to be the Kramers bound-free opacity for solar abundances,  $\kappa_{\text{bf}} = 1.6 \times 10^{24} \rho T^{-7/2} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ . The effective optical depth for thermalization is then

$$\tau_* = \tau_{\text{es}} \left( \frac{\kappa_{\text{bf}}}{\kappa_{\text{es}}} \right)^{1/2} \sim 0.29 \alpha^{-11/12} m^{1/12} \dot{m}^{1/3} x^{5/24}, \quad (18)$$

indicating for typical values of  $\alpha$  that in general, LTE is a reasonable approximation.

Finally, we estimate the disc thickness. Substituting into eq. (10), we obtain

$$\frac{H}{R} \sim 7.3 \times 10^{-2} (\alpha m)^{-1/18} \dot{m}^{1/9} x^{1/36} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

The disc aspect ratio,  $H/R$  (the “opening angle”), is nearly independent of radius and is extremely insensitive to parameters, with a magnitude several times larger than a comparable Shakura–Sunyaev disc without magnetic support.

#### 4.1.2 Thermalization and Colour Temperature

Photospheric colour temperatures typically exceed effective temperatures in the inner regions of standard accretion discs because the radiation is thermalized at a significant scattering optical depth. Colour corrections of luminous accretion discs,  $f_{\text{col}} \equiv T_{\text{col}}/T_{\text{eff}}$ , usually lie in the range  $\sim 1.5 - 2$  (Shimura & Takahara 1995; Davis et al. 2005), corresponding to a scattering optical depth  $\sim 5 - 20$  at the thermalization layer. When  $\tau_* > 1$ , the colour correction is given roughly by  $f_{\text{col}} \sim (\kappa_{\text{es}}/\kappa_*)^{1/8}$ , where  $\kappa_*$  is the absorption opacity evaluated at the local disc colour temperature and at the density of the thermalization layer,  $\rho_*$ .

An accurate calculation of the colour correction requires a detailed model for the structure of the disc photosphere, which is beyond the scope of our one-zone model for the vertical structure. However, it is clear that a magnetically supported disc should have a higher colour temperature than the equivalent Shakura–Sunyaev model (Blaes et al. 2006). Here we make a crude estimate of this effect.

The absorption opacity at the thermalization layer is given by

$$\kappa_* = \kappa_{\text{bf}}(\rho_*, T_{\text{col}}) = \kappa_{\text{bf}} \frac{\rho_*}{\rho} \left( \frac{T}{T_{\text{col}}} \right)^{7/2}, \quad (20)$$

where the unsubscripted density and temperature represent values at the midplane. We also have  $T/T_{\text{col}} = (T_{\text{eff}}/T_{\text{colour}})(T/T_{\text{eff}}) = \tau_{\text{es}}^{1/4} f_{\text{col}}^{-1}$ . Substituting for the temperature ratio in eq. (20) and using eq. (18), we can solve for  $f_{\text{col}}$  to obtain

$$f_{\text{col}} \sim \frac{\tau_{\text{es}}^{1/4}}{\tau_*^{4/9}} \left( \frac{\rho_*}{\rho} \right)^{-2/9} \gtrsim 3.4 \alpha^{5/27} m^{-1/108} \dot{m}^{5/108} \left( \frac{x}{20} \right)^{-25/108}, \quad (21)$$

since  $\rho_*/\rho < 1$ . In the inner portions of the magnetically dominated zone, eq. (21) predicts a colour correction substantially larger than that of a standard disc.

#### 4.1.3 Thermal and Viscous Stability

A major problem with standard  $\alpha$ -disc models is that they are viscously and thermally unstable in their inner regions where electron scattering dominates the opacity (Lightman & Eardley 1974; Shakura & Sunyaev 1976; Pringle 1976). In contrast, the magnetically supported disc models we propose here are not subject to these thermal and viscous instabilities. In all radiative  $\alpha$ -disc models in which the opacity is dominated by electron scattering, the dissipative heating rate scales as  $Q^+ \propto H^2 \Sigma$  and the radiative loss rate scales as  $Q^- \propto p_r/\Sigma \propto T^4/\Sigma$ , where we assume LTE. From eq. (10), we find  $T \propto H^4$  at fixed  $R$ , implying that  $Q^- \propto H^{16}/\Sigma$ . At constant  $\Sigma$ , losses increase with  $H$  much more rapidly than heating, implying that the magnetically supported discs are thermally stable. This result can be contrasted to a radiation pressure-dominated  $\alpha$ -disc, in which  $T \propto H^{1/4}$ , implying instability. (Gas pressure-supported  $\alpha$ -discs, on the other hand, are thermally stable.)

Now consider viscous instability. On viscous timescales, thermal balance is maintained, implying  $Q^+ = Q^-$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma \propto H^7$  for the magnetically supported disc. The viscous couple satisfies  $G \propto H^2 \Sigma \propto \Sigma^{9/7}$ . Since the viscous couple is an increasing function of surface density, the disc is stable (Lightman & Eardley 1974; Pringle 1981).

#### 4.2 The thickness of CV and LMXB discs

By using the same analysis as above, but now using Kramers, rather than electron scattering, opacity, we can calculate the thickness of the outer regions of discs in binary stars. As a specific example, we consider a disc around a  $1 M_{\odot}$  white dwarf, at a radius of  $R = 10^{10} R_{10} \text{ cm}$ , accreting at a rate of  $\dot{M} = 10^{18} \dot{M}_{18} \text{ g s}^{-1} \approx 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . This corresponds to the outer regions of the disc of a dwarf nova in outburst or of a nova-like variable. Under the standard assumptions the thickness of such a disc would be  $H/R \sim 0.05$  (see, for example, Frank, King & Raine 2002). However, if we use the assumption of strong toroidal fields put forward in this paper we find that the disc thickness is given by

$$\frac{H}{R} = 0.48 \alpha^{-1/17} \dot{M}_{18}^{3/34} \left( \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{-15/68} R_{10}^{9/68}. \quad (22)$$

From this we see that not only are the strongly magnetized discs proposed here thicker than standard ones by about an order of magnitude, but they are also slightly more flared, with  $H/R \propto R^{0.132}$  rather than the usual  $\propto R^{0.125}$ . Thus such discs are more subject to irradiation from a central luminosity source.

#### 4.3 Reduction of Disc Self-Gravity

Magnetic pressure support can reduce the effects of self-gravity in the outer parts of an accretion disc by thickening

the disc and reducing its density (Pariev et al. 2003). For a disc supported by gas pressure, local gravitational instability and fragmentation are expected to limit the accretion rate to

$$\dot{M} < \dot{M}_{\max} \sim \frac{3\alpha c_g^3}{G} = 5 \times 10^{-4} \alpha T_{100}^{3/2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}, \quad (23)$$

where  $T_{100} = T/100$  K (Shlosman & Begelman 1987). In a galactic nucleus, far from the black hole, the disc temperature is set by environmental influences (external irradiation, cosmic rays, etc.), rather than the internal dissipation in the disc (Shlosman & Begelman 1989).

The maximum accretion rate given by eq. (23) is too small to power luminous active galactic nuclei (AGN). Magnetic pressure support, according to our prescription, would increase this upper limit by a factor  $(v_K/c_g)^{3/2} \approx 10^5 (M_9/T_{100} R_{\text{pc}})^{3/4}$ , where black hole mass and radius are in units of  $10^9 M_{\odot}$  and pc, respectively. In Eddington units, the maximum accretion rate that could pass through a radius  $R$  would be increased to

$$\dot{m}_{\max} \sim 25 \alpha M_9^{-1/4} T_{100}^{3/4} R_{\text{pc}}^{-3/4}. \quad (24)$$

The decline of  $\dot{m}_{\max}$  with  $R$  continues out to a radius  $R_{\text{BH}}$ , where the gravitational potential of the galaxy is comparable to that of the black hole. If the galactic nucleus is represented by a singular isothermal sphere with velocity dispersion  $\sigma$ , the “bottleneck” occurs at  $R_{\text{BH}} \sim GM/2\sigma^2$  — beyond  $R_{\text{BH}}$ , the carrying capacity of the disc, at fixed  $T$ , is independent of radius. If we use the  $M - \sigma$  relation (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002),  $M_9 \sim 0.13(\sigma/200 \text{ km s}^{-1})^4$ , to eliminate  $\sigma$  in favor of  $M$ , we obtain

$$\dot{m}_{\max} \sim 3 \alpha M_9^{-5/8} T_{100}^{3/4}. \quad (25)$$

Thus, magnetic support may permit accretion at close to the Eddington limit, provided that  $\alpha$  and  $T_{100}$  are not too small. (Note that we defined  $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$  without an efficiency factor, so  $\dot{m} \sim 10$  is required to produced an Eddington luminosity with an efficiency of 0.1.)

## 5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We are well-aware of the speculative nature of some of the assumptions that have gone into our proposed disc model. Our proposal that  $v_A$  saturates at  $\sim (c_s v_K)^{1/2}$  is an educated guess based on a very simple interpretation of the likely conditions under which MRI is able to drive strong turbulence. We implicitly assume that MRI operates at all heights above the disc midplane, amplifying  $B_{\phi}$  in situ; however,  $B_{\phi}$  may also be advected to high latitudes by buoyancy. If buoyant transport from below dominates, then  $v_A$  could be even larger than we suggest.

The surviving MRI modes when  $v_A \gg c_s$  have large  $k_z$ , implying that the resulting turbulence would be driven on small scales. This is in contrast to the more common assumption in MRI calculations, that  $v_A \ll c_s$ , in which case turbulence is driven on scales up to the disc thickness. In order to build up the strong, large-scale toroidal field in our picture, the dynamo might have to involve an inverse cascade, the possibility of which is by no means certain. If the production of a large scale toroidal field is not efficient

in this limit, then our proposed field strength could be a considerable overestimate.

Alternatively, we have suggested the possibility that accretion discs with strong toroidal fields of the kind we discuss here may form a second stable branch of accretion disc configurations, perhaps only accessible through some sudden change in properties — for example, a thermal instability (cf. Section 3). This kind of bimodality of thin discs could help to explain the spectral differences of otherwise similar accreting white dwarf systems in CVs (cf. Section 2.1).

Whether or not our quantitative estimate of the saturated field strength is accurate and physically realized, we wish to emphasize the role that a magnetic “equation of state” — a relation between a suprathermal  $B_{\phi}$  and other fluid variables — could play in altering the structure and stability properties of  $\alpha$ -discs.

## 6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Keith Horne, Frank Verbunt, Richard Wade and Gordon Ogilvie for helpful discussions. MCB acknowledges support from NSF grant AST-0307502 and from the University of Colorado Council on Research and Creative Work. He thanks the Institute of Astronomy and Trinity College, Cambridge for hospitality. JEP thanks the Fellows of JILA for their hospitality.

## REFERENCES

- Armitage P.J., Livio M., 1998, ApJ, 493, 898
- Balbus S.A., Hawley J.F., 1998, RMP, 70, 1
- Baptista R., Horne K., Wade R.A., Hubeny I., Long K.S., Rutten R.G.M., 1998, MNRAS, 298, 1079
- Barrio F.E., Done C., Nayakshin S., 2003, MNRAS, 342, 557
- Blaes O.M., Davis S.W., Hirose S., Krolik J.H., Stone J.M., 2006, ApJ, 645, 1402
- Davis S.W., Blaes O.M., Hubeny I., Turner N.J., 2005, ApJ, 621, 372
- Done C., Nayakshin S., 2001, MNRAS, 328, 616
- Dubus G., Hameury J.-M., Lasota J.-P., 2001, A&A, 373, 251
- Dubus G., Lasota J.-P., Hameury J.-M., Charles P., 1999, MNRAS, 303, 139
- Ferrarese L., Merritt D., 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
- Frank J., King A., Raine D., 2002. Accretion Power in Astrophysics (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Fromang S., Nelson R.O., 2006, A&A, 457, 343
- Gebhardt K., et al., 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
- Hakala P.J., Muhli P., Dubus G., 1999, MNRAS, 306, 701
- Hirose S., Krolik J.H., Stone J.M., 2006, ApJ, 640, 901
- Horne K., Marsh T.R., Cheng F.H., Hubeny I., Lanz T., 1994, ApJ 426, 294
- Joss P.C., Rappaport S., 1979, A&A, 71, 217
- Kim W.-T., Ostriker E.C., 2000, ApJ, 540, 372
- King A.R., 1998, MNRAS, 296, L45
- Knigge C., Long K.S., Blair W.P., Wade R.A., 1997, ApJ, 476, 291
- la Dous C., 1991, A&A, 252, 100
- Lightman A.P., Eardley D.M., 1974, ApJ, 187, L1
- Long K.S., Wade R.A., Blair W.P., Davidsen A.F., Hubeny I., 1994, ApJ, 426, 704
- Lubow S.H., 1989, ApJ, 340, 1064
- Lynden-Bell D., 1969, Nature, 233, 690
- Machida M., Nakamura K.E., Matsumoto R., 2006, PASJ, 58, 193

- Mészáros P., Meyer F., Pringle J.E., 1977, *Nature*, 268, 420  
 Milgrom M., 1978, *A&A*, 67, L25  
 Miller K.A., Stone J.M., 2000, *ApJ*, 534, 398  
 Ogilvie G.I., 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 937  
 Orosz J.A., Wade R.A., 2003, *ApJ*, 593, 1032  
 Pariev V.I., Blackman E.G., Boldyrev S.A., 2003, *A&A*, 407, 403  
 Pavlovski K., Burki G., Mimica P., 2006, *A&A*, 454, 855  
 Pessah M.E., Psaltis D., 2005, *ApJ*, 628, 879  
 Pringle J.E., 1976, *MNRAS*, 177, 65  
 Pringle J.E., 1981, *ARA&A*, 19, 137  
 Pringle J.E., 1989, *MNRAS*, 236, 107  
 Pustilnik L.A., Shvartsman V.F., 1974, in De Witt-Morette C., ed., *Gravitational Radiation and Gravitational Collapse*. Reidel, Dordrecht, p.213  
 Robinson E.L., Wood J.H., Wade R.A., 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 952  
 Shafter A.W., Misselt K.A., 2006, *ApJ*, 644, 1104  
 Shakura N.I., Sunyaev R.A., 1973, *A&A*, 24, 337  
 Shakura N.I., Sunyaev R.A., 1976, *MNRAS*, 175, 613  
 Shibata K., Tajima T., Matsumoto R., 1990, *ApJ*, 350, 295  
 Shimura T., Takahara F., 1995, *ApJ*, 445, 780  
 Shlosman I., Begelman M.C., 1987, *Nature*, 329, 810  
 Shlosman I., Begelman M.C., 1989, *ApJ*, 341, 685  
 Steeghs D., Harlaftis E.T., Horne K., 1997, *MNRAS*, 290, 28  
 Terquem C., Papaloizou J.C.B., 1996, *MNRAS* 279, 767  
 Tout C.A., Pringle J.E., 1992, *MNRAS*, 259, 604  
 Tremaine S. D., et al., 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 740  
 Wade R.A., 1988, *ApJ*, 335, 394  
 Wade R.A., Hubeny I., 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 350  
 White N.E., Mason K.O., 1985, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 40, 167  
 Życki P.T., Done C., Smith D.A., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 1367